

NOTE

THE CIRCULAR FLOW NUMBER OF A 6-EDGE CONNECTED
GRAPH IS LESS THAN FOUR

ANNA GALLUCCIO*, LUIS A. GODDYN†

Received March 29, 2000

We show that every 6-edge connected graph admits a circulation whose range lies in the interval $[1, 3)$.

The *circular flow number* $\phi_c(G)$ of a (finite) graph G is defined by

$$\phi_c(G) = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{R} : \\ \text{some orientation } \vec{G} \text{ admits a circulation } f : E(\vec{G}) \rightarrow [1, r - 1]\}.$$

This parameter is a refinement of the well studied *flow number* $\phi(G) := \lceil \phi_c(G) \rceil$, which was introduced by Tutte as a dual to the chromatic number. Since $\phi_c(G) \geq 2$ with equality if and only if G is eulerian, the circular flow number may be regarded as a measure of how close a graph is to being eulerian. The following results and conjectures can be found in [5].

- **Theorem** (Seymour, 1981) Every 2-edge connected graph G has $\phi_c(G) \leq 6$.
- **Conjecture** (Tutte, 1954) Every 2-edge connected graph G has $\phi_c(G) \leq 5$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 05C70, 90B10, 90C35

* Supported by NATO-CNR Fellowship; this work was done while the author was visiting the Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics at Simon Fraser University, Canada.

† Supported by a National Sciences and Engineering Research Council Research Grant

- **Theorem** (Jaeger, 1975) Every 4-edge connected graph G has $\phi_c(G) \leq 4$.
- **Conjecture** (Tutte, 1966) Every 4-edge connected graph G has $\phi_c(G) \leq 3$.

This list suggests that the circular flow number might decrease as edge connectivity increases. For this and other reasons, the following has been asked [1].

Question 1. Is it true that $\sup\{\phi_c(G) : G \text{ is } k\text{-edge connected}\} \rightarrow 2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$?

The answer is “yes” for graphs of bounded genus [6], but little progress has been made for general graphs. In this note we present a refinement of Jaeger’s result.

Theorem 2. Every 6-edge connected graph G has $\phi_c(G) < 4$.

It suits our purpose to use the following “Minty-like” formula for ϕ_c which arises directly from Hoffman’s circulation condition ([3], or see [2]). If $G=(V,E)$ is finite and 2-edge connected, then

$$(1) \quad \phi_c(G) = \min_{\vec{G}} \max_{\emptyset \neq X \subset V} \frac{|\delta X|}{|\delta^+ X|}$$

where \vec{G} ranges over the strong orientations of G . (Here $\delta^+ X$ denotes the set of arcs from X to $V - X$, and $\delta X = \delta^+ X \cup \delta^+(V - X)$.)

Let $T \subseteq V(G)$. A T -join in G is a subset $J \subseteq E(G)$ such that T is the set of odd-degree vertices in the induced subgraph $G[J]$. An \emptyset -join is usually called a *cycle* or *even subgraph* of G . We use two standard results regarding trees and T -joins. The first is folklore, and the second was first proved by Nash-Williams [4].

Lemma 3. Any tree H contains a T -join, for any $T \subseteq V(H)$ of even cardinality.

Lemma 4. Any $2k$ -edge connected graph contains k edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Lemma 5. Let H_1 and H_2 be edge-disjoint spanning trees of a graph G and let T be an even subset of $V(G)$. Then $H_1 \cup H_2$ contains a T -join which is spanning and connected.

Proof. Let V_1 be the set of odd-degree vertices in H_1 . The symmetric difference $V_1 \Delta T$ has even cardinality, so, by Lemma 3, H_2 contains a $(V_1 \Delta T)$ -join J_2 . Let $F = H_1 \cup J_2$. Since H_1 and J_2 are edge disjoint, F is a T -join. Furthermore $E(H_1) \subseteq F$ so F spans G and is connected. ■

Lemma 6. Consider the polyhedron $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^8 : Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$ where

$$[A|b] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then the linear program $z^* = \min\{[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]x : x \in P\}$ has a unique optimum solution $x^* = \left[\frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{2}\right]^T$ with value $z^* = \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. It is routine to check that x^* is P -feasible and that the vector $y^* = \left[\frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{4}\right]^T$ is a feasible solution to the dual linear program $\max\{y[0 \ 0 \ 1]^T : yA \leq [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]\}$. Both objective values equal $\frac{1}{4}$ so (x^*, y^*) is an optimal dual pair. To show uniqueness we demonstrate that the primal objective vector is in the strict interior of a full dimensional cone generated by normals of active (tight) constraints at x^* . Writing $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_8]^T$, the active constraints are the three equations $Ax = b$ and the five equations $x_i = 0$, $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Indeed we have the positive linear combination

$$[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] = \left[\frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{4}\right]A + \frac{1}{4}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3 + \frac{1}{2}e_4 + \frac{1}{2}e_5 + \frac{1}{4}e_6$$

where e_i is the i -th standard unit vector in \mathbb{R}^8 . The cone is full dimensional since the first, seventh and eighth columns of A are linearly independent. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Let V_1 be the vertices of odd degree in G . By Lemma 4, G has three edge disjoint spanning trees. So by Lemmas 3 and 5, G has two edge-disjoint V_1 -joins, J_1, J_2 , such that J_2 spans G and is connected. Let \vec{C}_1 and \vec{C}_2 be eulerian orientations of the complementary cycles $C_1 = E - J_1$ and $C_2 = E - J_2$. Note that $C_1 \cup C_2 = E(G)$. Let \vec{G} be the *lexicographic orientation* of G induced by (\vec{C}_1, \vec{C}_2) . That is, we orient each edge $e \in E(G) \cap C_1$ as it is oriented in \vec{C}_1 , and we orient each $e \in E(G) - C_1$ as it is oriented in \vec{C}_2 .

Let X be a proper nonempty subset of $V(\vec{G})$. We shall show that $\frac{|\delta^+ X|}{|\delta X|} > \frac{1}{4}$ and the result follows from (1). We associate with every edge $e \in \delta X$ an

ordered pair $\sigma\tau \in \{+, -, 0\}^2$ where

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \vec{C}_1 \text{ traverses } e \text{ from } X \text{ to } V - X \\ - & \text{if } \vec{C}_1 \text{ traverses } e \text{ from } V - X \text{ to } X \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin C_1 \end{cases}$$

and where τ is defined similarly using C_2 in place of C_1 . The pair $\sigma\tau$ is called the *type* of e .

Let $x_{\sigma\tau}$ denote the proportion of edges in δX having type $\sigma\tau$. Since $C_1 \cup C_2 = E(G)$, no edge has type 00. We consider the 8-dimensional column vector

$$x = [x_{++} \ x_{+0} \ x_{+-} \ x_{0+} \ x_{--} \ x_{-0} \ x_{-+} \ x_{0-}]^T.$$

Since each \vec{C}_i traverses δX the same number of times in each direction, x is a feasible point in the polyhedron P of Lemma 6. Because \vec{G} is defined lexicographically, we have

$$\frac{|\delta^+ X|}{|\delta X|} = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]x$$

so this ratio is bounded below by the optimum value of the linear program of Lemma 6. By that lemma, the unique optimum solution is $x^* = \left[\frac{1}{4} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{2}\right]^T$ with value $z^* = \frac{1}{4}$. Since J_2 is spanning and connected, we have $\delta X - C_2 \neq \emptyset$, so $x_{+0} + x_{-0} > 0$. Thus $x \neq x^*$ and $[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]x > \frac{1}{4}$ as claimed. ■

Remark. We have not proved that $\sup\{\phi_c(G) : G \text{ is 6-edge connected}\} < 4$. To do so by the above method would require finding disjoint V_1 -joins J_1, J_2 such that $|J_1 \cap \delta X| \geq c|\delta X|$ for all $X \subseteq V$ and some fixed $c > 0$. For k -edge connected graphs, the strongest lower bound implied directly by Lemmas 3 and 4 is $|J_1 \cap \delta X| \geq \lfloor \frac{k-4}{2} \rfloor$.

References

- [1] A. GALLUCCIO, L. A. GODDYN and P. HELL: High girth graphs avoiding a minor are nearly-bipartite, (2000). To appear on Jour. Comb. Theory B.
- [2] L. A. GODDYN, M. TARSİ, and C.-Q. ZHANG: On (k, d) -colorings and fractional nowhere zero flows, *J. Graph Theory*, **28** (1998), 155–161.
- [3] A. J. HOFFMAN: Some recent applications of the theory of linear inequalities to extremal combinatorial analysis, in: Combinatorial Analysis: Proceedings of the Tenth Symposium in Applied Mathematics of the American Mathematical Society (R. Bellman and M. H. Jr., eds.), American Math. Soc., 1960, 113–128.

- [4] C. S. J. A. NASH-WILLIAMS: Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **36** (1961), 445–450.
- [5] P. D. SEYMOUR: Nowhere zero flows, in: Handbook of Combinatorics (R. Graham, M. Grötschel and L. Lovász, eds.), vol. 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995. Appendix to chapter 4.
- [6] C. Q. ZHANG: Circular flows of nearly eulerian graphs and vertex-splitting, (1999), preprint.

Anna Galluccio

*Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi ed
Informatica – CNR
Viale Manzoni 30
00185 Roma, Italy
galluccio@iasi.rm.cnr.it*

Luis A. Goddyn

*Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
Simon Fraser University
8888 University Drive
Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada
goddyn@math.sfu.ca*